# **Predictors and Risk Factors of Wound Complications in Diabetic Patients Post Lower Limb Amputation: A Prospective Study**

## Mohamed Mahmoud Abouzeid, MD; Ramez Mounir Wahba, MD; Ayman Hossameldin Abdulmonem, MD; Mohamed Hosny Ibrahim Mohamed Abdullah, MBBCh

Department of General Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, Egypt

**Introduction:** Despite advances in limb-salvage surgery, lower extremity amputation is still commonly required as an end result of the progression of arterial occlusive disease or failed arterial reconstruction. Furthermore, the increasing prevalence of diabetes has led to the appearance of more patients with foot complications leading to eventual lower extremity amputation.

**Aim of work:** To investigate the predictors and risk factors associated with wound complications after lower limb amputations in diabetic patients.

**Patients and methods:** This is a prospective study, in which we follow up diabetic patients who went for lower limb amputation (AKA or BKA) and record the incidence of post operative wound complications. The study included 30 diabetic patients undergoing lower limb amputation in Ain Shams University hospitals, with postoperative follow up for 24 weeks, and the outcome measured is the incidence of post operative complication in relation to preoperative risk factors and predictors.

**Results:** Regarding the comparison between AKA and BKA it was found that patients who had BKA had higher rates of superficial SSI which were statistically significant (P<0.05). While patients who had AKA had higher rates of pneumonia andmortality but not statistically significant (P>0.05). Wound disruption, pulmonary embolism and MI were higher in patients who had BKA but was not statistically significant (P>0.05). Regarding assessing predictors and risk factors for assessing post operative complications it was found that female gender, smoking and obesity (BMI >30) was statistically significant in assessing the risk factors (P value <0.05). Also regarding other predictors and risk factors of postoperative complication after the end of the study duration it was found that HGb (Anemia), Hct, ESR and CRP are considered predicators (P-value < 0.05). Other factors such as preoperative sepsis, emergency status and presence of infection were strongly related to post operative complication P-value 0.003 each (P-value < 0.05).

**Conclusion:** Surgical site infections (SSIs) following lower extremity amputations (LEAs) are a major cause of patient morbidity and mortality. The objectives of this study were to investigate the incidence of SSI and risk factors associated with SSI after LEA in diabetic patients. This study found that below-the-knee amputation, smoking, obesity, emergency status, anemia, lower hematocrit and preoperative sepsis to be associated with SSIs. Obesity specifically exacerbates the risk of developing postoperative surgical site infections in patients undergoing above-the-knee but not below-the knee amputation surgery.

Key words: Diabetic patients, lower limb amputation, wound complications.

#### Introduction

The word amputation derives from the Latin amputare, which means cutting around.<sup>1</sup>

At the beginning of the 20th century, the majority of amputations resulted from the traumas of war. Since the Second World War, the resulting cause of amputation has changed. Today the majority of amputations are due to vascular insufficiency and not trauma.<sup>1</sup>

Lower extremity amputation is one of the oldest and most commonly performed surgical procedures and yet has undergone very few modifications since its inception. Despite advances in limbsalvage surgery, lower extremity amputation is still commonly required as an end result of the progression of arterial occlusive disease or failed arterial reconstruction. Furthermore, the increasing prevalence of diabetes has led to the appearance of more patients with foot complications leading to eventual lower extremity amputation.<sup>2</sup>

Major lower extremity amputations continue to be part of all vascular practices, despite the general approach of aggressively attempting to salvage limbs. Though often viewed as a failure of treatment, major amputation should be considered reconstructive, when possible, and a definitive treatment option. The convergence of several important factors, including the increased life expectancy of the population and the epidemics of diabetes and peripheral arterial disease (PAD), suggests that amputations will remain an important issue facing patients and surgeons. The goal of amputation is to remove all infected, gangrenous, and ischemic tissue and provide the patient with the longest functional limb. Avoidance of repeated amputations and provision of uncomplicated healing of operative sites are crucial for the patient's optimal recovery and best functional rehabilitation or palliation.<sup>3</sup>

Surgical site infections (SSIs) remain a major cause of patient morbidity and mortality that also impose substantial financial burden on the healthcare system despite improvements in infection control techniques in surgical practice.<sup>4</sup>

Surgical site infections (SSIs) have been reported to be one of the most common causes of nosocomial infections; is accounting 20% to 25% of all nosocomial infections worldwide.<sup>5</sup>

SSIs have been responsible for the increasing cost; morbidity and mortality related to surgical operations and continue to be a major problem worldwide.<sup>6</sup>

Globally, surgical site infection rates have been reported to range from 2.5% to 41.9%.<sup>7</sup>

Patients with DM have 30 times greater lifetime risk of amputation compared to non-diabetics.<sup>8</sup> The 5-year and 10-year survival rates are 60% and 57% for above-knee amputees and 30% and 27% for below-knee amputees. Patients with diabetes had lower survival rates.<sup>9</sup> The majority of the lower extremity amputations are performed due to diabetes and its related complications.<sup>10</sup>

Lower extremity amputation is a surgical procedure entailing high rates of postoperative morbidity, with mortality reaching up to 16% in recent reports. Wound-related complications remain especially prominent following lower extremity amputations.<sup>11</sup> Diabetic patients are a particularly vulnerable population, with a higher incidence of amputations due to several indications including critical limb ischemia, diabetic foot ulcers, and gangrene.<sup>12</sup> In this population, SSIs not only increase the risk for mortality, but also can lead to impaired wound healing and consequently complications in prosthesis fitting.<sup>13</sup> This impairs the functionality of amputees and increases their dependency, which further increases long term mortality.<sup>14</sup> Previous studies have shown an association between different preoperative factors and increased incidence of SSIs in major non-cardiac surgeries.<sup>15</sup> In LEA studies, factors such as level of amputation, disposition, smoking, body mass index (BMI), and age were found to be associated with occurrence of SSI.<sup>16</sup>

## Aim of work

The aim of this study is to investigate the predictors and risk factors associated with wound complications after lower limb amputations in diabetic patients.

#### **Patients and methods**

This is a prospective study, in which we follow

up diabetic patients who went for lower limb amputation (AKA or BKA) and record the incidence of post operative wound complications. The study included 30 diabetic patients undergoing lower limb amputation in Ain Shams University hospitals, with postoperative follow up for 24 weeks, and the outcome measured is the incidence of post operative complication in relation to preoperative risk factors and predictors.

Diabetic patients of both genders aged more than 18years old, with type 1 and 2 diabetes, adequate tissue perfusion defined as ankle/brachial index between 0.7 and 1.2., or palpable distal pedal pulses and resistant diabetic foot ulcer to conservative dressings therapy were included in the study. While patients with ankle-brachial pressure index <0.5, traumatic amputations, tumor induced ulcer and patients refused to participate in the study were excluded from the study.

All patients who met those criteria accepted to sign consent for this study and proceeded to following measures.

**Full detailed clinical history was taken from all patients:** Personal history including habits of medical importance eg: smoking. Clinical history including the evaluation of cardiovascular risk factors and comorbidities. Different comorbidities: diabetes mellitus, hypertension, dyslipidaemia, renal impairment, cerebrovascular disease and any other medical illnesses. Detailed drug history: all daily medications in details. Surgical history: all previous surgeries, especially previous debridement or minor amputations.

Clinical examination was performed to all patients: General examination including patients' decubitus i.e. orthopnoea, chest condition i.e. dyspnea, presence of any disability or previous lost or amputated limb, presence of pallor or tachycardia Proper examination and assessment of affected foot to confirm non-salvageability and need for lower limb amputation and then level of amputation decided by pulse examination and ABI.

**Routine preoperative laboratories were ordered for all patients:** Kidney function tests, liver function tests, full blood count to exclude anemia, coagulation profile (INR and aPTT), and serum albumin.

**Preoperative general investigation was ordered for all patients:** ECG and ECHO for cardiac patients to assess the cardiac condition, pulmonary function test for COPD patients and thyroid profile for hypothyroid patients.

**Operative technique:** All amputations were performed by general and vascular surgery consultants and specialists in Ain Shams university

hospitals. All amputations were done either if BKA using the long posterior myocutaneous flap technique or AKA using anterior and posterior skin flaps for a fishmouth type closure the a Redivac suction drain was placed in all stumps and was removed 48 hours after operation if there was minimal output. Wound closure was performed in layers with a vertical mattress technique using nonabsorbable sutures, which were routinely removed on postoperative day 14 if there was no surgical site infection.

**Postoperative care:** all patients were informed to do wound dressing with betadine every other day, and adequate broad spectrum antibiotics were prescribed for all patients. Instructions for follow up were given.

**Follow up:** Patients were followed up for 24 weeks, and the outcomes were healing or presence of post operative complication (Superficial SSI, deep SSI or wound deheince) or deterioration of general health due to incidence of new insults postoperative (MI, pneumonia, pulmonary embolism). Amputations complicated by wound necrosis or severe infection, leading to subsequent above knee amputation; will be classified as "failed healing".<sup>17</sup>

### Results

The study plan was accepted by the Ethical Committee of Ain Shams University Hospitals, and it was held at Ain Shams University Hospitals. All patients included in the study signed an informed consent form. The study was conducted on 30 patients, 20 females (66.7) and 10 males (33.3%), the age ranged between 41 years and 75 years with mean age of 59.57 years  $\pm$  9.89 SD. The amputation was below the knee in 20 patients (66.7%) and above the knee in 10 patients (33.3%). Out of the 10 AKA 2 patients needed debridment (20%) and out of the 20 BKA 7 patients needed debridment (35%). Out of the 20 patient of BKA 3 patients needed to raise the level of amputation (15%). Of this sample (n=30) we had 24 smokers (80%) and 6 non-smokers (20%). Regarding obesity we had 21 were obese (70%) (BMI >30) and 6 not obese (30%). Their recoded preoperative labs were as follows: Hb mean of  $10.66 \pm 1.44$ , Hct range 35.18± 3.78, TLC mean of 12.23 ± 3.71, ESR median of 60 (20 - 84) and CRP median of 8 (2 - 15).

The overall 6 months postoperative mortality was 10% (n= 3). The incidence of postoperative

myocardial infarction were 13.3% (n= 4), while the postoperative renal failure rate was 10% (n = 3). Superficial, deep, and organ space SSI rates were 60% (n= 18), 26.7% (n= 8), and 6.7% (n= 2) respectively. The incidence of wound disruption was 10% (n= 3), Pneumonia 16.7% (n= 5) and pulmonary embolism 3.3% (n= 1). The incidence of renal failure was 10% (n= 3).

Regarding the comparison between AKA and BKA it was found that patients who had BKA had higher rates of superficial SSI which were statistically significant (P<0.05). While patients who had AKA had higher rates of pneumonia andmortality but not statistically significant (P>0.05). Wound disruption, pulmonary embolism and MI were higher in patients who had BKA but was not statistically significant (P>0.05).

Regarding assessing predictors and risk factors for assessing post operative complications it was found that female gender, smoking and obesity (BMI >30) was statistically significant in assessing the risk factors. (P value <0.05).

Also regarding other predictors and risk factors of postoperative complication after the end of the study duration it was found that HGb (Anemia), Hct, ESR and CRP are considered predicators (P-value < 0.05),. Other factors such as preoperative sepsis, emergency status and presence of infection were strongly related to post operative complication P-value 0.003 each (P-value < 0.05).

At the end of the study it was found that risk factors for assessing post operative wound complications include level of amputation BKA as opposed to AKA (P value 0.036) {OR 12.667, CI 1.177 to 136.283}, female gender (P value 0.036) {OR 12.667, CI 1.177 to 136.283}, obesity (BMI>30) (P value 0.024) { OR 16, CI 1.451 to 176.451}, smoking (P value 0.029) {OR 11, CI 1.271 to 95.178}, emergency status (P value 0.014) {OR 21, CI 1.834 to 240.515}, presence of infection (P value 0.014) {OR 21, CI 1.834 to 240.515} and preoperative sepsis (P value 0.014) {OR 21, CI 1.834 to 240.515}. Also some laboratory markers were considered risk factors and were statistically significant such as HB level (P value 0.004) {OR 46, CI 3.33 to 634.883), hematocrit level (P value 0.003) {OR 96, CI 4.94 to 1865.699), ESR (P value 0.004) {OR 46, CI 3.333 to 634.883) and CRP (P value 0.014) {OR 21, CI 1.834 to 240.515).

		No. = 30
	АКА	10 (33.3%)
AKA/BKA	ВКА	20 (66.7%)
Demographics		
Cov.	Male	10 (33.3%)
Sex	Female	20 (66.7%)
A	Mean ± SD	59.57 ± 9.89
Age	Range	41 – 75
Obesity	No	9 (30.0%)
ODESILY	Yes	21 (70.0%)
Smolling	No	6 (20.0%)
Smoking	Yes	24 (80.0%)
Cigarettes/d	Median (IQR)	30 (20 – 40)
Cigarettes/u	Range	10 - 60
COPD	No	19 (63.3%)
COPD	Yes	11 (36.7%)
DM	No	0 (0.0%)
DM	Yes	30 (100.0%)
HTN	No	10 (33.3%)
	Yes	20 (66.7%)
IHD	No	14 (46.7%)
עחו	Yes	16 (53.3%)
History of stroke	No	25 (83.3%)
I IISLUI Y UI SLIUKE	Yes	5 (16.7%)
CKD	No	27 (90.0%)
	Yes	3 (10.0%)
Osteomyelitis	No	25 (83.3%)
JSICOMYCIILIS	Yes	5 (16.7%)

## Table 1: Demographic data and characteristics of the studied patients

Initial labs		No. = 30	
HGB	Mean ± SD	10.66 ± 1.44	
	Range	9 – 13.5	
Hematocrit	Mean ± SD	35.18 ± 3.78	
	Range	30 - 43	
TLC	Mean ± SD	12.23 ± 3.71	
	Range	6 – 20	
PLT	Median (IQR)	338 (248 – 405)	
	Range	169 – 599	
CRP	Median (IQR)	8 (2 – 15)	
	Range	0.5 – 96	
ESR	Median (IQR)	60 (20 – 84)	
	Range	12 - 140	
HbA1c	Mean ± SD	8.50 ± 1.82	
	Range	5.6 - 13.1	
Creat	Mean ± SD	1.03 ± 0.35	
	Range	0.6 - 1.9	
Alb	Mean ± SD	3.35 ± 0.47	
	Range	2.6 - 4.4	
INR	Mean ± SD	1.13 ± 0.11	
	Range	0.9 - 1.33	

#### Table 2: Initial labs among the studied patients

## Table 3: Follow-up of postoperative complications among the studied patients

		·						
		1 week	2 weeks	3 weeks	4 weeks	8 weeks	12 weeks	24 weeks
Superficient CCI	No	19 (63.3%)	16 (53.3%)	14 (46.7%)	12 (40.0%)	12 (40.0%)	12 (40.0%)	12 (40.0%)
Superficical SSI	Yes	11 (36.7%)	14 (46.7%)	16 (53.3%)	18 (60.0%)	18 (60.0%)	18 (60.0%)	18 (60.0%)
Doop SSI	No	27 (90.0%)	25 (83.3%)	24 (80.0%)	22 (73.3%)	22 (73.3%)	22 (73.3%)	22 (73.3%)
Deep SSI	Yes	3 (10.0%)	5 (16.7%)	6 (20.0%)	8 (26.7%)	8 (26.7%)	8 (26.7%)	8 (26.7%)
Organ spaced	No	29 (96.7%)	29 (96.7%)	28 (93.3%)	28 (93.3%)	28 (93.3%)	28 (93.3%)	28 (93.3%)
SSI	Yes	1 (3.3%)	1 (3.3%)	2 (6.7%)	2 (6.7%)	2 (6.7%)	2 (6.7%)	2 (6.7%)
Wound disruption	No	29 (96.7%)	29 (96.7%)	29 (96.7%)	28 (93.3%)	27 (90.0%)	28 (93.3%)	28 (93.3%)
Wound disruption	Yes	1 (3.3%)	1 (3.3%)	1 (3.3%)	2 (6.7%)	3 (10.0%)	2 (6.7%)	2 (6.7%)
Proumonia	No	29 (96.7%)	29 (96.7%)	29 (96.7%)	28 (93.3%)	27 (90.0%)	26 (86.7%)	25 (83.3%)
Pneumonia	Yes	1 (3.3%)	1 (3.3%)	1 (3.3%)	2 (6.7%)	3 (10.0%)	4 (13.3%)	5 (16.7%)
Pulmonary	No	30 (100.0%)	30 (100.0%)	30 (100.0%)	29 (96.7%)	29 (96.7%)	29 (96.7%)	29 (96.7%)
embolism	Yes	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (3.3%)	1 (3.3%)	1 (3.3%)	1 (3.3%)
Myocardial	No	30 (100.0%)	30 (100.0%)	29 (96.7%)	28 (93.3%)	28 (93.3%)	27 (90.0%)	26 (86.7%)
infarction	Yes	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (3.3%)	2 (6.7%)	2 (6.7%)	3 (10.0%)	4 (13.3%)
Debridment	No	27 (90.0%)	25 (83.3%)	23 (76.7%)	21 (70.0%)	21 (70.0%)	21 (70.0%)	21 (70.0%)
needed	Yes	3 (10.0%)	5 (16.7%)	7 (23.3%)	9 (30.0%)	9 (30.0%)	9 (30.0%)	9 (30.0%)
Higher level of	No	29 (96.7%)	28 (93.3%)	28 (93.3%)	27 (90.0%)	27 (90.0%)	27 (90.0%)	27 (90.0%)
amputation needed	Yes	1 (3.3%)	2 (6.7%)	2 (6.7%)	3 (10.0%)	3 (10.0%)	3 (10.0%)	3 (10.0%)
Doath	No	29 (96.7%)	29 (96.7%)	29 (96.7%)	28 (93.3%)	28 (93.3%)	28 (93.3%)	27 (90.0%)
Death	Yes	1 (3.3%)	1 (3.3%)	1 (3.3%)	2 (6.7%)	2 (6.7%)	2 (6.7%)	3 (10.0%)

	AKA BKA		Test value	P-value	Sia		
	No.	= 10	No	. = 20	Test value	P-value	Sig.
SuperficicalSSI	3	30.0%	15	75.0%	5.625	0.017	S
Deep SSI	4	40.0%	4	20.0%	1.364	0.243	NS
Organ spaced SSI	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	4.286	0.038	S
Wound disruption	0	0.0%	2	10.0%	1.071	0.301	NS
Pneumonia	3	30.0%	2	10.0%	0.120	0.729	NS
Pulmonary embolism	0	0.0%	1	5.0%	0.517	0.472	NS
Myocardial infarction	0	0.0%	4	20.0%	2.308	0.129	NS
Debridment needed	2	20.0%	7	35.0%	0.714	0.398	NS
Higher level of amputation needed	0	0.0%	3	15.0%	1.667	0.197	NS
Death	2	10.0%	1	10.0%	0.000	1.000	NS

P-value > 0.05: Non significant; P-value < 0.05: Significant; P-value < 0.01: Highly significant.

\*: Chi-square test.

		Non-complicated	Complicated	- Test value	Ducha	Sig
		No. = 5	No. = 25	rest value	P-value	210
	АКА	4 (80.0%)	6 (24.0%)	5.880*	0.015	S
AKA/BKA	ВКА	1 (20.0%)	19 (76.0%)	5.880"	0.015	
Demographics						
	Mean ± SD	59.20 ± 10.99	59.64 ± 9.91	0.000		
Age	Range	48 – 72	41 – 75	-0.089•	0.930	NS
0	Male	4 (80.0%)	7 (28.0%)	4.052*	0.020	
Sex	Female	1 (20.0%)	18 (72.0%)	4.852*	0.028	S
Ohacity	No	4 (80.0%)	8 (32.0%)	4.000*	0.046	
Obesity	Yes	1 (20.0%)	17 (68.0%)	4.000**	0.046	S
Smoking	No	3 (60.0%)	4 (16.0%)	4.509*	0.034	
Smoking	Yes	2 (40.0%)	21 (84.0%)	4.509		S
Cigarettes/d	Median (IQR)	30 (10 - 40)	25 (20 – 40)	-0.363≠	0.717	N
cigarettes/ a	Range	10 - 40	10 - 60	-0.303≠	0.717	NS
COPD	No	3 (60.0%)	16 (64.0%)	0.029*	0.865	N
COPD	Yes	2 (40.0%)	9 (36.0%)	0.029	0.005	
DM	No	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	_	-	
	Yes	5 (100.0%)	25 (100.0%)	_		
HTN	No	2 (40.0%)	8 (32.0%)	0.120*	0.729	N
	Yes	3 (60.0%)	17 (68.0%)	0.120	0.729	
IHD	No	3 (60.0%)	11 (44.0%)	0.429*	0.513	N
	Yes	2 (40.0%)	14 (56.0%)	0.125	0.515	
History of stroke	No	4 (80.0%)	21 (84.0%)	21 (84.0%) 0.048*		N
istory of stroke	Yes	1 (20.0%)	4 (16.0%)	0.010	0.827	CF1
CKD	No	4 (80.0%) 23 (92.0%)		0.667*	0.414	N
	Yes	1 (20.0%)	2 (8.0%)	0.007 0.414		
Osteomyelitis	No	4 (80.0%)	21 (84.0%)	0.048*	0.827	N
osteomyentis	Yes	1 (20.0%)	4 (16.0%)	0.070	0.027	IN

P-value > 0.05: Non significant; P-value < 0.05: Significant; P-value < 0.01: Highly significant.

\*: Chi-square test; •: Independent t-test; ≠: Mann-Whitney test.

	Non-complicated	Complicated	Testuslus	Duralua	<b>C</b> :	
	No. = 5	No. = 25	- lest value	P-value	Sig.	
Mean ± SD	12.26 ± 1.43	10.34 ± 1.23	2 100-	0.004	цс	
Range	9.8 - 13.5	9 - 13.5	5.100•		HS	
Mean ± SD	39.8 ± 4.49	34.26 ± 2.93	2 526-	0.001		
Range	32 – 43	30 – 41	3.530•		HS	
Mean ± SD	9.30 ± 1.53	12.82 ± 3.75	2.041-	0.051	NC	
Range	6.9 - 11	6 – 20	-2.041•	0.051	NS	
Median (IQR)	248 (227 – 360)	341 (300 – 405)	0.001 /	0.373	NC	
Range	190 – 420	169 – 599	-0.891≠		NS	
Median (IQR)	1.2 (1 – 2)	2) 8 (6 - 18)		0.020	6	
Range	0.9 - 8	0.5 – 96	-2.180≠	0.029	S	
Median (IQR)	17 (15 – 19)	60 (50 – 85)	2 706 /	0.005		
Range	13 – 50	12 - 140	-2./90≠	0.005	HS	
Mean ± SD	7.52 ± 1.29	8.69 ± 1.87	1 224-	0.102	NC	
Range	5.6 – 9	6.5 - 13.1	-1.334•	0.193	NS	
Mean ± SD	$1.22 \pm 0.44$	0.99 ± 0.33	1.246-	0.400	NC	
Range	0.8 - 1.9	0.6 - 1.8	1.346•	0.189	NS	
Mean ± SD	3.48 ± 0.53	3.33 ± 0.47	0.654-	0 510	NC	
Range	2.9 – 4	2.6 - 4.4	0.654•	0.518	NS	
Mean ± SD	$1.19 \pm 0.09$	$1.11 \pm 0.11$	1.240-	0 101	NC	
Range	1.1 – 1.33	0.9 - 1.3	1.340•	0.191	NS	
	RangeMean ± SDRangeMean ± SDRangeMedian (IQR)RangeMedian (IQR)RangeMedian (IQR)RangeMedian (IQR)RangeMean ± SDRangeMean ± SD	No. = 5Mean $\pm$ SD $12.26 \pm 1.43$ Range $9.8 - 13.5$ Mean $\pm$ SD $39.8 \pm 4.49$ Range $32 - 43$ Mean $\pm$ SD $9.30 \pm 1.53$ Range $6.9 - 11$ Median (IQR) $248 (227 - 360)$ Range $190 - 420$ Median (IQR) $1.2 (1 - 2)$ Range $0.9 - 8$ Median (IQR) $17 (15 - 19)$ Range $13 - 50$ Mean $\pm$ SD $7.52 \pm 1.29$ Range $5.6 - 9$ Mean $\pm$ SD $1.22 \pm 0.44$ Range $0.8 - 1.9$ Mean $\pm$ SD $3.48 \pm 0.53$ Range $2.9 - 4$ Mean $\pm$ SD $1.19 \pm 0.09$	No. = 5No. = 25Mean $\pm$ SD12.26 $\pm$ 1.4310.34 $\pm$ 1.23Range9.8 - 13.59 - 13.5Mean $\pm$ SD39.8 $\pm$ 4.4934.26 $\pm$ 2.93Range32 - 4330 - 41Mean $\pm$ SD9.30 $\pm$ 1.5312.82 $\pm$ 3.75Range6.9 - 116 - 20Median (IQR)248 (227 - 360)341 (300 - 405)Range190 - 420169 - 599Median (IQR)1.2 (1 - 2)8 (6 - 18)Range0.9 - 80.5 - 96Median (IQR)17 (15 - 19)60 (50 - 85)Range13 - 5012 - 140Mean $\pm$ SD7.52 $\pm$ 1.298.69 $\pm$ 1.87Range0.8 - 1.90.6 - 1.3.1Mean $\pm$ SD1.22 $\pm$ 0.440.99 $\pm$ 0.33Range0.8 - 1.90.6 - 1.8Mean $\pm$ SD3.48 $\pm$ 0.533.33 $\pm$ 0.47Range2.9 - 42.6 - 4.4Mean $\pm$ SD1.19 $\pm$ 0.091.11 $\pm$ 0.11	No. = 5No. = 25Test valueMean $\pm$ SD12.26 $\pm$ 1.4310.34 $\pm$ 1.233.100•Range9.8 - 13.59 - 13.53.100•Mean $\pm$ SD39.8 $\pm$ 4.4934.26 $\pm$ 2.933.536•Range32 - 4330 - 413.536•Mean $\pm$ SD9.30 $\pm$ 1.5312.82 $\pm$ 3.75-2.041•Mean $\pm$ SD9.30 $\pm$ 1.5312.82 $\pm$ 3.75-2.041•Median (IQR)248 (227 - 360)341 (300 - 405)-0.891 $\pm$ Range190 - 420169 - 599-0.891 $\pm$ Median (IQR)1.2 (1 - 2)8 (6 - 18)-2.180 $\pm$ Range0.9 - 80.5 - 96-2.180 $\pm$ Median (IQR)17 (15 - 19)60 (50 - 85)-2.796 $\pm$ Range13 - 5012 - 140-2.796 $\pm$ Mean $\pm$ SD7.52 $\pm$ 1.298.69 $\pm$ 1.87-1.334•Mean $\pm$ SD1.22 $\pm$ 0.440.99 $\pm$ 0.331.346•Mean $\pm$ SD3.48 $\pm$ 0.533.33 $\pm$ 0.470.654•Mean $\pm$ SD3.48 $\pm$ 0.533.33 $\pm$ 0.470.654•Mean $\pm$ SD1.19 $\pm$ 0.091.11 $\pm$ 0.111.340•	No. = 5No. = 25Test valueP-valueNean $\pm$ SD12.26 $\pm$ 1.4310.34 $\pm$ 1.233.100*0.004Range9.8 - 13.59 - 13.53.100*0.004Mean $\pm$ SD39.8 $\pm$ 4.4934.26 $\pm$ 2.933.536*0.001Range32 - 4330 - 413.536*0.001Mean $\pm$ SD9.30 $\pm$ 1.5312.82 $\pm$ 3.75-2.041*0.051Range6.9 - 116 - 20-2.041*0.051Median (IQR)248 (227 - 360)341 (300 - 405)-0.891 $\neq$ 0.373Range190 - 420169 - 599-0.891 $\neq$ 0.373Median (IQR)1.2 (1 - 2)8 (6 - 18)-2.180 $\neq$ 0.029Range0.9 - 80.5 - 96-2.796 $\neq$ 0.005Range13 - 5012 - 140-2.796 $\neq$ 0.005Range13 - 5012 - 140-2.796 $\neq$ 0.193Mean $\pm$ SD7.52 $\pm$ 1.298.69 $\pm$ 1.87-1.334*0.193Range0.8 - 1.90.6 - 1.81.346*0.193Mean $\pm$ SD1.22 $\pm$ 0.440.99 $\pm$ 0.331.346*0.189Mean $\pm$ SD3.48 $\pm$ 0.533.33 $\pm$ 0.470.654*0.518Mean $\pm$ SD1.19 $\pm$ 0.091.11 $\pm$ 0.111.340*0.191	

Table 6: Relation of occurrence of complications with initial laboratory parameters of the studied patients

P-value > 0.05: Non significant; P-value < 0.05: Significant; P-value < 0.01: Highly significant.

•: Independent t-test; ≠: Mann-Whitney test.

#### Table 7: Relation of occurrence of complications with clinical data of the studied patients

	Non complicated					
	Non-complicated	Complicated	Testualue	Duralua	<b>C</b> :	
-	No. = 5 No. = 25		est value	P-value	Sig.	
No	4 (80.0%)	4 (16.0%)	0 777*	0.002	HS	
Yes	1 (20.0%)	21 (84.0%)	8.727*	0.003	пэ	
No	4 (80.0%)	4 (16.0%)	0 777*	0.003	HS	
Yes	1 (20.0%)	21 (84.0%)	0.727		пэ	
No	4 (80.0%)	4 (16.0%)	0 777*	0 002		
Yes	1 (20.0%)	21 (84.0%)	8.727*	0.003	HS	
No	5 (100.0%)	23 (92.0%)		0 512	NS	
Yes	0 (0.0%)	2 (8.0%)	0.429**	0.429* 0.513		
	Yes No Yes No Yes No	No         4 (80.0%)           Yes         1 (20.0%)           No         5 (100.0%)	No         4 (80.0%)         4 (16.0%)           Yes         1 (20.0%)         21 (84.0%)           No         4 (80.0%)         4 (16.0%)           Yes         1 (20.0%)         21 (84.0%)           No         4 (80.0%)         4 (16.0%)           Yes         1 (20.0%)         21 (84.0%)           No         4 (80.0%)         4 (16.0%)           Yes         1 (20.0%)         21 (84.0%)           No         5 (100.0%)         23 (92.0%)	No         4 (80.0%)         4 (16.0%)         8.727*           Yes         1 (20.0%)         21 (84.0%)         8.727*           No         4 (80.0%)         4 (16.0%)         8.727*           Yes         1 (20.0%)         21 (84.0%)         8.727*           No         4 (80.0%)         4 (16.0%)         8.727*           Yes         1 (20.0%)         21 (84.0%)         8.727*           No         4 (80.0%)         4 (16.0%)         8.727*           No         5 (100.0%)         23 (92.0%)         0.429*	No. = 5No. = 25No. = 25No4 (80.0%)4 (16.0%) 21 (84.0%) $8.727^*$ $0.003$ Yes1 (20.0%)21 (84.0%) $8.727^*$ $0.003$ No4 (80.0%)4 (16.0%) 21 (84.0%) $8.727^*$ $0.003$ No4 (80.0%)4 (16.0%) 21 (84.0%) $8.727^*$ $0.003$ No4 (80.0%)21 (84.0%) $8.727^*$ $0.003$ No5 (100.0%)23 (92.0%) $0.429^*$ $0.513$	

 $\label{eq:p-value} \ensuremath{\mathsf{P}}\xspace{-value} > 0.05: \ensuremath{\mathsf{Non}}\xspace{-value} < 0.01: \ensuremath{\mathsf{Highly significant}}\xspace{-value} \\ \ensuremath{\mathsf{Highly significant}}\xspace{-value} \\ \ensuremath{\mathsf{Highly significant}}\xspace{-value} < 0.01: \ensuremath{\mathsf{Highly significant}}\xspace{-value} \\ \ensuremath{\mathsf{H$ 

\*: Chi-square test.

Univariate				Multivariate			
Duralua	Odds ratio	o 95% C.I. for OR	Dualua	Odds ratio	95% C.I. for OR		
P-value	(OR)	Lower	Upper	P-value	(OR)	Lower	Upper
0.014	21.000	1.834	240.515				
0.036	12.667	1.177	136.283				
0.036	12.667	1.177	136.283				
0.024	16.000	1.451	176.451				
0.029	11.000	1.271	95.178				
0.004	46.000	3.333	634.883				
0.003	96.000	4.940	1865.699				
0.004	46.000	3.333	634.883				
0.014	21.000	1.834	240.515				
0.014	21.000	1.834	240.515				
0.014	21.000	1.834	240.515				
	0.036 0.036 0.024 0.029 0.004 0.003 0.004 0.014 0.014	P-value         Odds ratio (OR)           0.014         21.000           0.036         12.667           0.036         12.667           0.024         16.000           0.029         11.000           0.004         46.000           0.003         96.000           0.004         46.000           0.004         21.000	Odds ratio (OR)         95% C           0.014         21.000         1.834           0.036         12.667         1.177           0.036         12.667         1.177           0.036         12.667         1.177           0.036         12.667         1.177           0.024         16.000         1.451           0.029         11.000         1.271           0.004         46.000         3.333           0.004         46.000         3.333           0.004         46.000         3.333           0.014         21.000         1.834	P-value         Odds ratio (OR)         95% C for OR           0.014         21.000         1.834         240.515           0.036         12.667         1.177         136.283           0.036         12.667         1.177         136.283           0.036         12.667         1.177         136.283           0.036         12.667         1.177         136.283           0.024         16.000         1.451         176.451           0.029         11.000         1.271         95.178           0.004         46.000         3.333         634.883           0.004         46.000         3.333         634.883           0.004         21.000         1.834         240.515           0.014         21.000         1.834         240.515	P-value         Odds ratio (OR)         95% C.J. for OR Lower         P-value           0.014         21.000         1.834         240.515           0.036         12.667         1.177         136.283           0.036         12.667         1.177         136.283           0.036         12.667         1.177         136.283           0.036         12.667         1.177         136.283           0.036         12.667         1.177         136.283           0.024         16.000         1.451         176.451           0.029         11.000         1.271         95.178           0.004         46.000         3.333         634.883           0.004         46.000         3.333         634.883           0.014         21.000         1.834         240.515           0.014         21.000         1.834         240.515	P-value         Odds ratio (OR)         95% C.I. for OR Lower         P-value         Odds ratio (OR)           0.014         21.000         1.834         240.515             0.036         12.667         1.177         136.283             0.036         12.667         1.177         136.283              0.036         12.667         1.177         136.283               0.036         12.667         1.177         136.283               0.024         16.000         1.451         176.451                0.029         11.000         1.271         95.178	P-value         Odds ratio (OR)         95% C.I. for OR Lower         P-value         Odds ratio (OR)         95% C.I. Lower           0.014         21.000         1.834         240.515

Table 8: Logistic regression analysis for factors associated with occurrence of complication after 24 weeks

#### Discussion

Postoperative SSIs remain a substantial burden on everyday surgical care.<sup>4</sup>

Significant predictors of SSI following LEA included level of amputation, female sex, smoking, preoperative sepsis, emergency status, and obesity. The increased odds of SSI following BKA, as compared to AKA, could be explained by decreased blood perfusion to the more distal parts of the extremity. In addition, as suggested by Hasanadka et al., BKA is in close proximity to the infected foot in cases of gangrene, which tend to occur distally.<sup>14</sup> Moreover, the higher rates of hematoma in BKA makes it more susceptible to infection.<sup>18</sup>

Smoking was also found to be an independent predictor of SSI, consistent with previous studies.<sup>19,20</sup> Substances such as nicotine, nitric oxide, and carbon monoxide inhaled from smoking disrupt the endothelial lining of blood vessels and impair immune response, leading to delayed wound healing and increased susceptibility to infection.<sup>21</sup>

Emergency status and preoperative sepsis were also independently associated with the occurrence of SSI in LEA. Both conditions place the incision under high risk of infection due to suboptimal preoperative preparation and the increased presence of the infecting organism in the body.

Consistent with previous studies, we found that the risk of developing a SSI increases in a stepwise manner with obesity.<sup>22,23</sup>

The etiology of wound complications in obese individuals is multifactorial, involving both local and systemic factors. Locally, factors contributing to poor wound healing include the relative hypovascularity of subcutaneous adipose tissue, which may also reduce antibiotic delivery and increase wound tension. Poor skin perfusion also makes obese individuals susceptible to pressure-induced injuries, which can be aggravated by difficulties in repositioning and increased shearing during movement.<sup>24</sup>

We also found that preoperative hematocrit level was directly proportional to the risk of developing an SSI: as the severity of anemia increased, the odds of developing SSI increased. This proves the hypothesis that lower hematocrit levels would cause decreased tissue oxygenation and hence impede wound healing leading to a higher infection rate. In fact, a study that investigated the relationship between hematocrit and gangrene in BKAs found that higher hematocrit was in fact associated with increased risk for insipidus gangrene.<sup>23</sup> Another small study that assessed the predictors of healing after lower limb amputation surgery found that patients with hemoglobin >120 g/L (Normal) had a higher risk of wound healing failure compared to patients with hemoglobin <120 g/l.<sup>26</sup> These findings were attributed to one main theory, higher hematocrit is associated with an increase in blood viscosity which impedes oxygen delivery and inflammatory factors washout in the microvasculature, while lower hematocrit is a product of hemodilution which increases tissue perfusion and oxygen tension. In fact, a relatively high hematocrit is a known risk factor for tissue ischemia.27

Also, hemodilution has been shown to increase blood flow and decrease claudication in patients with peripheral arterial disease.<sup>28</sup> This effect was evident in the BKA group after sensitivity analysis. Perhaps this effect is mitigated in the more proximal AKAs with larger caliber vessels that are less susceptible to occlusion, while accentuated in more distal amputations where much narrower vessels predominate.

## Conclusion

Surgical site infections (SSIs) following lower extremity amputations (LEAs) are a major cause of patient morbidity and mortality. The objectives of this study were to investigate the incidence of SSI and risk factors associated with SSI after LEA in diabetic patients. This study found that belowthe-knee amputation, smoking, obesity, emergency status, anemia, lower hematocrit and preoperative sepsis to be associated with SSIs. Obesity specifically exacerbates the risk of developing postoperative surgical site infections in patients undergoing above-the-knee but not below-the knee amputation surgery.

## References

- 1. Butler CM: The vascular amputee, MS Thesis, *University of London*. 1986.
- 2. Beard JD, Gaines PA, Loftus I: A companion to specialist surgical practice, vascular and endovascular surgery. *Elsevier*; 2014, 5<sup>th</sup> edition.
- Sidawy AN, Perler BA: Rutherford's vascular surgery and endovascular therapy (9<sup>th</sup> ed.). Philadelphia, PA: *Elsevier*. 2019.
- 4. Owens CD, Stoessel K: Surgical site infections: Epidemiology, microbiology and prevention. *Journal of Hospital Infection*. 2008; 70: 3-10.
- Martone WJ, Nichols RL: Recognition, prevention, surveillance, and management of surgical site infections: Introduction to the problem and symposium overview. *Clinical Infectious Diseases.* 2001; 33(Supplement\_2): S67-8.
- Yalcin AN, Bakir M, Bakici Z, Dökmetas I, Sabir N: Postoperative wound infections. *Journal of Hospital Infection.* 1995; 29(4): 305-9.
- Brown BJ, Iorio ML, Hill L, et al: Ertl below-knee amputation using a vascularized fibular strut in a nontrauma elderly population: A case series. *Ann Plast Surg.* 2014; 73: 196–201.
- Moxey PW, Gogalniceanu P, Hinchliffe RJ, et al: Lower Extremity Amputations--A Review of Global Variability in Incidence. *Diabet Med.* 2011; 28(10): 1144-53.
- Kulkarni J, Pande S, Morris J: Survival Rates in Dysvascular Lower Limb Amputees. *Int J Surg.* 2006; 4(4): 217-21.
- 10. Chalya PL, Mabula JB, Dass RM, et al: Major Limb Amputations: A Tertiary Hospital Experience

in Northwestern Tanzania. *J Orthop Surg Res.* 2012; 7: 18.

- 11. Wied C, Foss NB, Tengberg PT, et al: Avoidable 30-day mortality analysis and failure to rescue in dysvascular lower extremity amputees. *Acta Orthop.* 2018; 89: 246–50.
- 12. Fosse S, Hartemann-Heurtier A, Jacqueminet S, et al: Incidence and characteristics of lower limb amputations in people with diabetes. *Diabet Med: JBr Diabetic Assoc.* 2009; 26: 391-6.
- 13. Tande AJ, Patel R: Prosthetic joint infection. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* 2014; 27: 302–45.
- 14. Thelwall S, Harrington P, Sheridan E, et al: Impact of obesity on the risk of wound infection following surgery: Results from a nationwide prospective multicentre cohort study in England. Clinical Microbiol Infect: *The Official Publication Of The European Society Of Clinical Microbiology And Infectious Diseases.* 2015; 21: 1008-1001.
- 15. Weber WP, Zwahlen M, Reck S, et al: The association of preoperative anemia and perioperative allogeneic blood transfusion with the risk of surgical site infection. *Transfusion*. 2009; 49: 1964–70.
- 16. Hasanadka R, McLafferty RB, Moore CJ, Hood DB, Ramsey DE, Hodgson KJ: Predictors of wound complications following major amputation for critical limb ischemia. *Journal of Vascular Surgery.* 2011; 54(5): 1374-82.
- 17. Boudewijn JD, Theodore AA, Jan AR, Fred CB: Criteria for reliable selection of the lowest level of amputation in peripheral vascular disease. *J VASc SURG*. 1992; 15: 536-42.
- 18. Morisaki K, Yamaoka T, Iwasa K: Risk factors for wound complications and 30-day mortality after major lower limb amputations in patients with peripheral arterial disease. *Vascular.* 2018; 26(1): 12-7.
- 19. Durand F, Berthelot P, Cazorla C, Farizon F, Lucht F: Smoking is a risk factor of organ/ space surgical site infection in orthopaedic surgery with implant materials. *International Orthopaedics*. 2013; 37: 723-7.
- 20. Sørensen LT: Wound healing and infection in surgery: the pathophysiological impact of smoking, smoking cessation, and nicotine replacement therapy: A systematic review. *Annals of Surgery*. 2012; 255(6): 1069-79.
- 21. Arcavi L, Benowitz NL: Cigarette smoking and infection. *Archives of Internal Medicine*. 2004; 164(20): 2206-16.
- 22. Lawson EH, Hall BL, Ko CY: Risk factors for

superficial vs deep/organ-space surgical site infections: Implications for quality improvement initiatives. *JAMA surgery*. 2013; 148(9): 849-58.

- Giles KA, Hamdan AD, Pomposelli FB, Wyers MC, Siracuse JJ, Schermerhorn ML: Body mass index: Surgical site infections and mortality after lower extremity bypass from the National Surgical Quality Improvement Program 2005– 2007. *Annals of Vascular Surgery*. 2010; 24(1): 48-56.
- 24. Pierpont YN, Dinh TP, Salas RE, Johnson EL, Wright TG, Robson MC, Payne WG: Obesity and surgical wound healing: a current review. *International Scholarly Research Notices*. 2014; 2014.
- 25. Hansen ES, Wethelund JO, Skajaa K: Hemoglobin and hematocrit as risk factors in below-the-knee

amputation for incipient gangrene. *Archives of Orthopaedic and Traumatic Surgery.* 1988; 107: 92-5.

- 26. Eneroth M, Persson BM: Risk factors for failed healing in amputation for vascular disease: A prospective, consecutive study of 177 cases. *Acta Orthopaedica Scandinavica*. 1993; 64(3): 369-72.
- 27. Bouhoutsos J, Morris T, Chavatzas D, Martin P: The influence of haemoglobin and platelet levels on the results of arterial surgery. *Journal of British Surgery*. 1974; 61(12): 984-6.
- 28. Yates CJ, Andrews V, Berent A, Dormandy JA: Increase in leg blood-flow by normovolæmic hæmoddlution in intermittent claudication. *The Lancet.* 1979; 314(8135): 166-8.